EXPANDING IMMIGRANT VOTING RIGHTS

The Problem

The over 20 million immigrants who reside in the U.S. and do not have American citizenship (noncitizens) contribute vitally to our social fabric and economy. These residents are our neighbors and live in virtually every state, city, suburb, and town. They are teachers and students, physicians and nurses, musicians and construction workers. They pay taxes, raise families, send their kids to schools, and make countless economic, social and cultural contributions every day.

Non-citizens, however, are generally barred from voting on policies that affect them on a daily basis, despite constituting up to 10% to 40% of the total population in numerous states and localities where they are concentrated. In part due to their exclusion from formal participation in our democracy through voting, noncitizens as a group score lower than citizens on nearly every indicator of well-being, including income, wealth, poverty, healthcare, housing, and education. Moreover, the exclusion of noncitizens from voting undermines the basic democratic principles we hold dear, such as “one person, one vote,” “government rests on the consent of the governed,” and “no taxation without representation.”

The Solution

The vote is a tried-and-true mechanism designed to keep elected officials responsive and accountable to their constituents, which is why every previously excluded group successfully struggled for their right to vote.

The movement to restore voting rights to noncitizens has been led by local governments. Indeed, 14 local governments have restored immigrant voting rights in the U.S, including in Maryland, Vermont, New York City, and San Francisco.

It is important to emphasize these efforts are restorations of voting rights previously exercised by European immigrants in the 19th century. Historically, voting was not tied to citizenship, but rather to race, gender and class – only white, male property holders could vote. Twelve of the thirteen original U.S. states allowed “alien suffrage” (aka, immigrant or noncitizen voting). When the U.S. Congress created new territories from lands acquired by displacing Native Americans, it granted voting rights to immigrants who settled those lands. In fact, between 1776 to 1926, 40 states permitted noncitizen voting at some point—and not just in local elections, but also in state and federal elections. Immigrants during this period could also run for and hold elected office.

These policies, however, excluded Chinese, Mexican and “other” migrants. “Alien suffrage” came to an end during waves of nativism and xenophobia, state by state, ending in Arkansas in 1926.

The Civil Rights Movement ushered in a revival of immigrant voting laws. New York City was the first jurisdiction that restored voting rights to immigrants in school board elections in 1968, followed by Chicago in 1988 for local school council elections, and several towns in Maryland during the 1990s that allow immigrants to vote in all local elections. More recently, San Francisco, additional towns in Maryland and Vermont, and New York City restored immigrant voting. Another dozen jurisdictions have also sought to restore immigrant voting rights in recent years, including in Massachusetts, Washington D.C., Portland, MN, and San Jose, CA.

Research shows immigrants vote in significant numbers, on par with citizen neighbors in some cases, contributing to electoral and policy outcomes. As in other elections, local and national contexts -- as well as implementation practices -- can affect patterns of voter participation.

For example, during the 1990s in NYC, noncitizen parent voters comprised between 15% and 41% of all...
votes cast in school board elections, leading to more ethnically diverse representatives in school districts, increased school funding, school improvements and better student outcomes (Hayduk, Democracy For All, 2006, pp 101-104). This participation however, was not true uniformly across the city, and in some districts immigrants voted at lower rates, yielding different outcomes.

In Maryland, turnout by immigrants was initially quite robust, but after the September 11th terrorist attacks and the subsequent crackdowns on immigration enforcement, turnout declined with increased immigration enforcement and growing fear among immigrants of detention and deportation. More recently, however, Hyattsville, MD boosted immigrant turnout by adding election staff and expanding outreach efforts, including by using social media in multiple languages.

Policy Issues

Design and Implementation Considerations

Some jurisdictions restore immigrant voting to all residents—both documented and undocumented immigrants (MD, SF)—while others enfranchise only legal permanent residents (NYC, towns in MA, Winooski and Montpelier VT, Washington D.C., Portland, ME). Some campaigns are waged via ballot proposals (SF, VT, Portland) while others are enacted as local laws via legislative processes (NYC, MA, MD) (Stateline, Pew).

One of the most important considerations in designing noncitizen voting rights laws is the potential negative consequences on immigration status and enforcement. For example, if an immigrant inadvertently registers and votes in a state or federal election, it could jeopardize their application for citizenship or lead to their detention and deportation. Additionally, data on a locality’s noncitizens may be used for aggressive immigration enforcement by federal administrations that are hostile to immigrants. Consequently, immigrant advocacy groups, immigration lawyers, and immigrant communities need to be at the table at every phase of such efforts—from the decision to commence a campaign to its implementation. To protect against such unintended occurrences—and to effectively engage and empower immigrant residents—advocates and policy makers have collaborated to craft well-designed programs in Maryland, San Francisco and New York City, discussed in greater detail below.

Local Examples

Localities in Maryland

Ten Maryland localities allow all residents to vote in local elections, including both documented and undocumented immigrants.2 Maryland’s election administrators use two separate voter registration forms: the state keeps a list of citizen voters for state and federal elections, and local city clerks keep a separate list of noncitizen voters who can only vote in local elections. Local elections take place in opposite years from state and federal elections, helping to reduce confusion and thereby ensure noncitizens will not vote in state or federal elections. City Clerks in Maryland have successfully implemented immigrant municipal voting for three decades without administrative problems or incidents of illegal voting. You can find Takoma Park’s ordinance text here, and Hyattville’s here.

San Francisco

The Immigrant Parent Voting Collaborative (IPVC), a broad coalition of immigrant and community-based organizations, worked with elected officials and election officials to craft ordinances and implement San Francisco’s 2016 noncitizen voting law that allows all parents of children to vote in School Board Elections regardless of citizenship and immigration status. San Francisco’s Department of Elections and the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigration Affairs worked with the IPVC to conduct outreach and provide advice and information to all noncitizen parents, including a brochure and a voter registration form in multiple languages. The City also granted funds to the IPVC to conduct community education workshops and public events to help immigrants to understand eligibility criteria and engage immigrant parents in the process. You can find San Francisco’s 2016 charter amendment by ballot measure here, the 2018 ordinance text here, and the 2021 ordinance text here.

New York City

New York City’s 2021 law (ordinance text here), crafted by immigrant community organizations and public officials, grants voting rights to Legal Permanent Residents (LPRs) and to people with work visas. It does not enfranchise undocumented residents. Local elections occur in opposite years from state or federal

---

2 Takoma Park, Barnesville, Chevy Chase Section Five, Chevy Chase Section Three, Glen Echo, Hyattsville, Martiri’s Additions, Mount Rainier, Riverdale Park, Somerset.
elections, which helps insulate immigrant voters from mistakenly registering and voting in a state or federal election. The law directs the NYC Board of Elections to create a "municipal voter registration form" and keep a list of those registered for municipal elections. These municipal voters would only appear on voter registration lists for local elections, not state and federal elections. If and when an immigrant naturalizes and registers to vote for state and federal elections, their municipal registration becomes invalid.

Preemption

Federal law does not preclude states and localities from allowing noncitizens to vote, though federal law does bar immigrants from voting in federal elections. In five states (Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Florida, and North Dakota) noncitizens are expressly prohibited from voting pursuant to the state constitutions, thereby preempting localities from granting such rights. Although state constitutions in 45 states include language referring to national citizenship (e.g., “every citizen” or “all citizens”), most do not explicitly preclude noncitizen voting, many cities have charter or home rule powers that allow them to enfranchise immigrants in their local elections, going above the floor of eligibility requirements to vote set by state constitutions and state election law.

Funding

Several municipalities have allocated funds to effectively implement local immigrant voting laws, including to elections offices to print new municipal-only voter registration forms and/or to conduct voter education and outreach, including by producing brochures, mailers, and using ethnic media and social media in multiple languages (SF, Hyattsville). These funds, which are moderate, have proven quite effective in all cases.

Further Resources

Co-Authored by Ron Hayduk, Professor of Political Science at San Francisco State University and author of Democracy For All: Restoring Immigrant Voting in the United States (Routledge).

For more information, www.immigrantvotingrights.com or email Ron Hayduk at rhayduk@sfsu.edu.