

RACIAL EQUITY IN OUR CITIES

“Without a broad and deep commitment to a genuinely shared and comprehensive strategy, we are never going to get beyond small, fragmented, often narrow programs and services that are insufficient in scale, intensity, continuity, and scope to make a lasting impact on the life trajectories of at-risk children and their families.”

— Race to Equity, **Wisconsin Council on Children and Families**

THE PROBLEM

From the inception of our country, local, regional, state and federal governments have played a role in creating and maintaining racial inequity. Despite progress in addressing explicit discrimination, racial inequities continue to be deep, pervasive and persistent across the country, including in education, criminal justice, jobs, housing, infrastructure and health, regardless of region.

Many current inequities are sustained by historical legacies and structures that repeat patterns of exclusion; for example, because funding for schools comes from a local tax base, racial and economic segregation in housing leads to tremendous inequities in education, which itself perpetuates inequity. Although there is a strong relationship between race and class, simply talking about class is not enough. Taking a “color-blind” approach to governance allows racial inequities to continue, and therefore, local government should explicitly target both racial and economic inequities.

THE SOLUTION

Local government has the ability to implement policy change at multiple levels and across multiple sectors. Because race touches on almost every facet of life, governments have the opportunity to address racial inequity across a wide breath of issue areas.

Examples of local government successes include the following:

Use of a Racial Equity Tool in budget, policy and program decisions. Racial Equity Toolkit: An Opportunity to Operationalize Equity (in multiple cities and counties across the country).¹

Transforming the relationships between police officers and community members. Project PEACE: City of **Tacoma, WA** Police Department Partnering for

Equity and Community Engagement.²

Working to eliminate racial inequities in employment. Minimum Qualifications: Best Practices in Recruitment and Selection Advancing Racial Equity in **Multnomah County**.³

Analyzing and using data to motivate action. City of **Dubuque, IA**. Partnering to Develop a Community Equity Profile and Scorecard.⁴

Use of criminal background checks in employment decisions. The Job Assistance Ordinance: Expanding Opportunity for Workers in **Seattle**.⁵

Meaningful shifts in the inclusion of communities of color in government. City of **Madison, WI** elections, poll workers and racial equity, a winning combination.⁶

National movement of local government leaders. The cities of **Albuquerque, Austin, Grand Rapids, Louisville, and Philadelphia** are members of Racial Equity Here, a coalition of cities that works to provide technical support, tools, and effective practices to organizations in their cities that are working to change the mechanisms that perpetuate racial gaps.⁷

BEST PRACTICES

Government must also recognize that policy change is necessary, but not sufficient. Organizational culture changes that transform government into an effective and inclusive democracy are also necessary. Key lessons

learned across jurisdictions include the following:

ANALYSIS: Jurisdictions must use a racial equity framework that clearly articulates the differences between individual, institutional and structural racism, as well as implicit and explicit bias. We must recognize the historical and current reality that government played an integral role in the creation and maintenance of racial inequities.

CAPACITY: Jurisdictions need to be committed to the breadth and depth of institutional transformation so that impacts are sustainable. While the leadership of elected and appointed officials is critical, changes take place on the ground, and infrastructure that creates racial equity experts and teams throughout local government is necessary.

TOOLS: Racial inequities are not random; they have been created and sustained over time. Inequities will not disappear on their own. Racial equity tools must be used to change the policies, programs and practices that are perpetuating inequities. Such tools lay out a process and a set of questions to guide the development, implementation and evaluation of policies, initiatives, programs, and budget issues.

DATA AND METRICS: Measurement must take place at two levels – first, cities should measure the success of specific programmatic and policy changes, and second, they should develop baselines, set goals, and measure progress. Use of data in this manner is necessary for accountability.

PARTNERING: To achieve racial equity in localities, government must work in partnership with community and other institutions to achieve meaningful results.

URGENCY: While there is often a belief that change is hard and takes time, history has shown repeatedly that political can lead to rapid reforms. The alignment of political priorities with concrete policy and behavior changes has led to important societal shifts. Similar success can be had in achieving racial equity if local officials are motivated by urgency.

INCREASING EVERYBODY'S WELLBEING: Local government's focus on racial equity is critically important to getting to different outcomes in our communities. The goal must be beyond closing the gap; leaders must establish appropriate benchmarks that lift up all populations while paying close attention to those often excluded. Advancing equity means focusing on more than just disparities. Systems that are marginalizing communities of color (whether education, criminal justice, or voting rights) are actually failing all of us both directly and indirectly.

We must develop goals and outcomes that will result in improvements for all groups, with the strategies developed

based on the needs of a particular group. This specificity will increase our collective success and be cost effective.

LEADING WITH RACE: Focusing on race provides an opportunity to also address other ways in which groups of people are marginalized, including based on gender, sexual orientation, ability, and age. To have maximum impact, focus and specificity are necessary. Strategies to achieve racial equity differ from those to achieve equity in other areas. "One-size-fits-all" strategies are rarely successful.

A racial equity framework that is clear about the differences between individual, institutional and structural racism, as well as the history and current reality of inequities, has applications for other marginalized groups. Race can be an issue that keeps other marginalized communities from effectively coming together. An approach that recognizes the inter-connected ways in which marginalization takes place will help achieve greater unity across communities. It is critical to address all areas of structural inequity, and an institutional approach is necessary across the board.

LANDSCAPE AND RESOURCES

The Government Alliance on Race and Equity (GARE)

is a joint project of the Center for Social Inclusion and the Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society at UC Berkeley. GARE is a national network of governmental jurisdictions working to advance racial equity and improve success for all groups.